ELECTRICITY STORAGE

Technology Brief
About IRENA

The International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) is an intergovernmental organisation dedicated to renewable energy. In accordance with its Statute, IRENA’s objective is to “promote the widespread and increased adoption and the sustainable use of all forms of renewable energy”. This concerns all forms of energy produced from renewable sources in a sustainable manner, which include bioenergy, geothermal energy, hydropower, ocean, solar, and wind energy.

As of April 2012, the membership of IRENA comprises 158 States and the European Union (EU), out of which 92 States and the EU have ratified the Statute.

About IEA-ETSAP

The Energy Technology Systems Analysis Programme (ETSAP) is an Implementing Agreement of the International Energy Agency (IEA), first established in 1976. It functions as a consortium of member country teams and invited teams that actively cooperate to establish, maintain, and expand a consistent multi-country energy/economy/environment/engineering (4E) analytical capability.

Its backbone consists of individual national teams in nearly 70 countries, and a common, comparable and combinable methodology, mainly based on the MARKAL / TIMES family of models, permitting the compilation of long term energy scenarios and in-depth national, multi-country, and global energy and environmental analyses.

ETSAP promotes and supports the application of technical economic tools at the global, regional, national and local levels. It aims at preparing sustainable strategies for economic development, energy security, climate change mitigation and environment.

ETSAP holds open workshops twice a year, to discuss methodologies, disseminate results, and provide opportunities for new users to get acquainted with advanced energy-technologies, systems and modeling developments.
Insights for Policymakers

Electricity storage is a key technology for electricity systems with a high share of renewables as it allows electricity to be generated when renewable sources (i.e. wind, sunlight) are available and to be consumed on demand. It is expected that the increasing price of fossil fuels and peak-load electricity and the growing share of renewables will result in electricity storage to grow rapidly and become more cost effective.

However, electricity storage is technically challenging because electricity can only be stored after conversion into other forms of energy, and this involves expensive equipment and energy losses.

At present, the only commercial storage option is pumped hydro power where surplus electricity (e.g. electricity produced overnight by base-load coal or nuclear power) is used to pump water from a lower to an upper reservoir. The stored energy is then used to produce hydropower during daily high-demand periods. Pumped hydro plants are large-scale storage systems with a typical efficiency between 70% and 80%, which means that a quarter of the energy is lost in the process.

Other storage technologies with different characteristics (i.e. storage process and capacity, conversion back to electricity and response to power demand, energy losses and costs) are currently in demonstration or pre-commercial stages:

- **Compressed air energy storage (CAES)** systems store energy by compressing air. They require large, low-cost natural buffers (e.g. caverns) to store compressed air, which is then used in gas-fired turbines to generate electricity on demand.
- **Flywheels** store electricity as mechanical energy, which is then converted back to electricity.
- **Electrical batteries and vanadium redox flow cells** store electricity as chemical energy. In particular, traditional lead-acid batteries offer low costs but short-lifetimes; Li-ion batteries offer higher efficiency and lifetime and are widely used for portable devices, but they require further R&D and cost reduction for application to solar and wind plants; novel battery concepts (e.g. NaS batteries) and vanadium redox flow cells have already been used in small- to mid-size renewable power systems.
- **Supercapacitors** store electricity as electrostatic energy and are often combined with batteries.
- **Superconducting magnetic storage** use superconducting technology to store electricity efficiently but need more research to be developed.
• **Thermal energy storage** is under demonstration in concentrating solar power (CSP) plants where excess daily solar heat is stored and used to generate electricity at sunset (see ETSAP E10 and E17).

No single electricity storage technology scores high in all dimensions. The technology of choice often depends on the size of the system, the specific service, the electricity sources and the marginal cost of peak electricity. Pumped hydro currently accounts for 95% of the global storage capacity and still offers a considerable expansion potential but does not suit residential or small-size applications. CAES expansion is limited due to the lack of suitable natural storage sites. Electrical batteries have a large potential with a number of new materials and technologies under development to improve performance and reduce costs. Heat storage is practical in CSP plants. The choice between large-scale storage facilities and small-scale distributed storage depends on the geography and demography of the country, the existing grid and the type and scale of renewable technologies entering the market.

While the energy storage market is quickly evolving and expected to increase 20-fold between 2010 and 2020, many electricity storage technologies are under development and need policy support for further commercial deployment. Electricity storage considerations should be an integral part of any plans for electric grid expansion or transformation of the electricity system. Storage also offers key synergies with grid interconnection and methods to smooth the variability of electricity demand (demand side management).
Highlights

- **Process and Technology Status** – Electricity storage is a challenging and costly process as electricity can only be stored by conversion into other forms of energy (e.g. potential, thermal, chemical or magnetic energy). In today’s grids, electricity storage capacity is modest (about 110 GW power capacity on a global basis), and power generation varies continuously to meet demand fluctuations and ensure grid voltage and frequency stability. More electricity storage could help ensure grid balance and reduce the need for costly peak-load capacity. Electricity storage can also support the grid integration of variable renewables (i.e. wind and solar power), the production of which depends on meteorological conditions and varies daily and seasonally. There is a variety of storage technologies based on various processes and suited to different services (e.g. bulk storage and load-levelling, voltage and frequency regulation, renewable integration, back-up power). Major storage technologies include pumped hydro, compressed air energy storage (CAES), flywheels, supercapacitors, flow cells and rechargeable batteries (e.g. Li-ion batteries) and super-conducting magnet energy storage (SMES). Among these, pumped hydro is the only widely used technology (i.e. 100 GW worldwide) for large-scale electricity storage. Li-ion batteries dominate the market of energy storage for portable devices and are also the primary candidate for energy storage in electric vehicles and distributed renewable power. All other technologies are under demonstration or in a pre-commercial phase. Storage technologies also include electricity conversion into hydrogen via electrolysis (see ETSAP P11) and thermal energy storage in concentrating solar power (CSP) plants (see ETSAP E10 and E17). Electric utilities are also considering the storage potential of electric vehicles: overnight charging of batteries could offer a unique opportunity for distributed electricity storage at virtually no cost. The US Department of Energy estimates that two million vehicles could help accommodate up to 10 GW of wind power.

- **Performance and Costs** – Performance and costs of storage systems are to be assessed with respect to the service. For example, back-up power for uninterruptable power systems (UPS) requires immediate (i.e. within seconds) response and moderate power output and can usually bear high costs in return for high reliability. Grid voltage and frequency regulation require a response time from seconds to minutes and higher power output. Daily load-levelling requires extensive power to be available for hours, and the cost it can bear depends on the marginal price of peak-load electricity. Investment costs for storage equipment are highly variable as technologies are mostly in pre-commercial phases with little operating experience except for pumped hydro. Cost projections are also scarce or unavailable. Pumped hydro and CAES
provide utility-size storage (i.e. up to GW-size power output for several hours) for both load-levelling and voltage/frequency regulation but are not suited to small-size distributed storage or back-up power for UPS. They are the cheapest storage options per unit of energy, with investment costs largely dependent on plant site and size, i.e. USD 2000-4000/kW for pumped hydro and USD 800-1000/kW for large CAES (assuming cheap, natural underground storage). Flywheels can make available kW- to MW-size power output for a limited time (seconds to minutes) with very short response time. They can be used for UPS, frequency regulation and wind power support in small grids. Their cost is also sensitive to the size, ranging from USD 1000/kW for small, simple flywheels to USD 4000/kW for MW-size multi-wheel systems. Supercapacitors also offer very short response time and high power density. They could be used as instantaneous voltage compensators or in combination with battery storage. Vanadium redox flow cells offer power capacity from kW-size to MW-size. They can be used to support wind power generation at a cost of USD 3000-5000/kW with prospects for a rapid reduction to USD 2000/kW. Rechargeable batteries (e.g. traditional lead-acid batteries, NaS batteries and large Li-ion batteries) are the technology of choice for distributed storage (e.g. residential/commercial PV systems up to MW-size). They can also be used for frequency regulation and UPS. Among batteries, Li-ion cells offer the best energy density (up to 630 Wh/l), cycle efficiency (90%) and durability, along with the lowest self-discharge (5-8% per month at 21°C). Small Li-ion batteries for portable devices are commercially available at relatively low prices, but batteries for power applications are still expensive. They cannot simply be scaled-up and need enhanced safety and reliability. However, high learning rates (30%) in portable applications and large research efforts on batteries for electric vehicles and wind energy storage promise a rapid cost reduction to less than USD 1000/kW. SMES systems offer high efficiency (>90%) and immediate response but are currently used in pilot projects or devices for other applications.

Potential and Barriers – Energy storage technologies are quickly evolving since the share of renewable electricity is growing fast and there is an increasing need for storage capacity. Storing low-cost electricity (e.g. overnight) and selling it during peak-demand periods could soon become cost effective due to the increasing cost of peak electricity. Estimates suggest a global grid-tied storage market rising from USD 1.5 billion in 2010 to about USD 35 billion in 2020. Explorative simulations assuming high renewable energy share (IEA ETP 2008 Blue Scenario) suggest that in Western Europe a storage capacity of about 90 GW would be needed by 2050 to cope with net variations of 30% of then-current wind power capacity. On a global scale, the storage capacity needed to accommodate wind power variations by 2050 is estimated to be between 190 GW and 300 GW. Among storage technologies, pumped hydro
and CAES have a moderate expansion potential, a major barrier being the need for suitable installation sites. A strong market growth is anticipated for grid-tied Li-ion batteries (USD 6 billion by 2020, IHS estimates) in relation to the deployment of smart grids. Other storage technologies need more demonstration to enter the market. Policy measures are needed to support market uptake of electricity storage.
Need for Electricity Storage

Electricity can only be stored after conversion into other forms of energy (i.e. potential, mechanical, thermal, chemical, electrostatic or magnetic energy). In general, electricity storage (i.e. storing electricity when production exceeds demand and using it during peak-demand periods) is a challenging and costly process. In today’s power grids, electricity storage capacity is modest (about 110 GW on a global basis [1]), and power generation varies continuously to meet demand fluctuations. A good supply-demand balance is not only essential to meet the demand but also ensures grid voltage and frequency stability and the overall reliability of the power system. More electricity storage could help balance the grid, reduce the need for costly peak-load capacity and operate base-load power plants\(^1\) efficiently at full power. More importantly, electricity storage can facilitate the grid integration of renewable power technologies, such as wind and solar, the production of which varies depending on meteorological conditions as well as daily and seasonally, with variations lasting for seconds to minutes to hours.

The reliable operation of today’s grids with high shares of renewables (e.g. Denmark, Spain) is mostly ensured by interconnection with adjacent grids, load-following and peak-load capacity, and control systems to ensure a good demand-supply balance\(^2\). This strategy allows more than a 20% share of wind electricity to be accommodated in the power grid with no major storage facilities. However, it could become insufficient if the share of variable renewables increases significantly in all regions. In particular, short-term (seconds to minutes) power output variations due to wind and solar power\(^3\) could affect the stability of the grid fre-

---

\(^1\) Power plants traditionally fall into three categories: base-load, peak, and load-following. Base-load power plants (coal, nuclear, large hydro) operate constantly at full power and stop only for maintenance. They have high capital costs but provide low-cost electricity and usually meet the lowest level of demand the utility experiences. Peak power plants (mostly gas-turbines starting in a few minutes) operate only during peak-demand periods, have low capital costs and generate relatively expensive electricity. Load-following power plants (typically hydro and steam turbines) are between the first two. They usually run below their nominal output (spinning reserve), ready to adjust for demand fluctuations. Power plants based on variable renewables (PV, CSP, wind power) do not fall into these categories. Their outputs vary minute to minute, day to day and depend on the meteorological conditions, the time of day and the seasons.

\(^2\) Other measures include demand-side management (e.g. incentives to users to shift electricity consumption during off-peak periods) and the use of electrical devices that work with variable voltage (e.g. 110–130V or 220–240V), as load variations can result in grid voltage variations.

\(^3\) PV power can be drastically reduced by clouds; wind turbines can go off-line in a matter of seconds during storms or be unavailable for hours due to lack of wind. Although the impact of these events may be smoothed when several distributed renewables are in operation, severe wind power drops (e.g. thousands of MW going off-line at a rate of several percentage points per minute) have already occurred (e.g. [22]).
quency, which falls when demand exceeds supply and rises when supply exceeds demand. Therefore, electricity storage is expected to become a key component of power systems with high renewable shares.

Technology Status and Performance

There is a variety of electricity storage technologies for different applications and services, e.g. bulk storage, peak-shaving (load-levelling), grid support (voltage and frequency regulation), grid integration of renewable sources, back-up power for uninterruptable power supply (UPS) and storage for residential PV systems. They are based on various processes to convert electricity into other forms of energy. This brief outlines major storage technologies except those involving electricity conversion into thermal energy and hydrogen, which are dealt with in ETSAP E17 and ETSAP P11, respectively. Depending on the specific technology, the performance of a storage system can be defined by the following parameters:

- **Energy storage capacity (kWh)** is the amount of energy that can be stored in the system\(^4\).
- **Charge and discharge rates** (kW) define how fast energy can be charged/discharged\(^5\). For most technologies, the discharge rate can vary during operation and is often higher than the charge rate.
- **Response time (in seconds, minutes)** is the time needed for the storage system to start providing energy on demand.
- **Lifetime** of a storage system is given as the number of cycles, years or stored/provided energy (kWh), depending on the specific technology.
- **Efficiency** (or roundtrip efficiency, %) is the ratio of energy discharged by the system to the energy needed to charge it at each cycle and accounts for energy lost in the storage cycle.
- **Energy density** (kWh/kg, kWh/m\(^3\), Wh/l) and **power density** (kW/kg and kW/m\(^3\)) matter in applications where space is at a premium.

**Pumped Hydro** – Pumped hydro is the most mature and widely used technology for large-scale energy storage. It accounts for 95% of the current storage capacity. Pumped hydro systems consist typically of two reservoirs located at different elevations, a pump and a hydro-turbine or a reversible pump-turbine.

---

\(^4\) For batteries working at a certain operating voltage V, energy storage capacity is given as Ah, with kWh=V × Ah/1000

\(^5\) (for batteries it is often given as amperes (A), with kW=V × A/1000.)
device (i.e. Francis turbine). During low-demand periods (usually at night), excess low-cost electricity is used to pump water from the lower reservoir to the upper one. During peak-load periods, the system generates power just like a conventional hydro-power plant (ETSAP E06). Pumped hydro systems based on artificial reservoirs can offer high power capacity (up to a few GW) for short periods (6–10h). Systems based on large, natural water sources and dams (often with no pumping device) are often used to provide daily and even seasonal storage (e.g. dry seasons) with power output of typically 200–400 MW. In general, pumped hydro systems can start operation and reach full power in a few minutes. They can be used as a capacity reserve, as well as for grid frequency and voltage stabilization. Modern pumped hydro plants are equipped with variable-speed pump-turbine machines. When working as a turbine, they generate power with grid frequency synchronisation while in pumping mode they can work asynchronously with a variable speed. This enables grid frequency stabilization during pumping (overnight) by quickly changing the input power (automatic frequency control). The efficiency of the pumped hydro systems (i.e. energy output to energy absorbed for pumping) is between 70% and 80%. This includes typical pump and turbine efficiency of 92%, motor and generator efficiencies of 98% and energy losses of typically 7% (93% efficiency) in pumping and turbine operation. In order to increase the relatively low energy density, pumped hydro systems need very large water reservoirs or large elevation variations between lower and upper reservoirs. Their typical lifetime is over 30 years (50,000 cycles).

Pumped hydro storage was developed in Italy and Switzerland in the 1890s. The global installed capacity is currently about 104 GW (2008) in some 200 plants, of which 38 GW are in the EU, 25 GW in Japan and 22 GW in the United States [1]. An additional 20 GW are under construction and about 40 GW are under consideration [2, 3]. Some 40% of the existing systems have a capacity of between 100 and 400 MW while about 20% have more than 1 GW capacity. The largest plants with GW-size capacity include Bath County (2.8 GW) US, Guangdong (2.4 GW) China, Okutataragi (1.9 GW) Japan, Ludington (1.9 GW) US and Tianhuangping (1.8 GW) China. The Tianhuangping plant (8 million m$^3$ water, 600m height, 80% efficiency) could provide up to 13 GWh, about 2% of China’s daily electricity consumption.

### Compressed Air Energy Storage (CAES)

CAES systems use off-peak electricity to compress and store air into underground mines or caverns. Compressed air is then used in natural gas turbines (ETSAP E02) to generate peak-load electricity. Usually simple-cycle gas turbines use almost two-

---

6. Pumped hydro can also provide reactive power to the grid.
7. 1 m$^3$ water over 100m height gives 0.27kWh potential energy.
thirds of the input fuel to compress air prior to combustion (i.e. efficiency of 37–38%). Using compressed air from CAES, the turbine can save up to 40% of the input fuel used to generate electricity, and the typical efficiency (i.e. ratio of energy output to energy needed for compression) of a CAES with a simple-cycle gas turbine is about 50% [2]. This efficiency can be increased using combined cycle gas turbines. CAES systems can come on line and react to power demand changes in about 15 minutes. Compressed air is stored at pressures between 45 and 70 bars into 500–800m-deep caverns. Relatively low-cost storage caverns can be obtained from natural salt deposits by injecting large amounts of water to dissolve the salt. However, the number of appropriate natural sites for CAES is rather limited, and the use of metal vessels for air storage is very expensive. At present, CAES systems in operation include the 290-MW Huntorf plant in Germany (since 1978) and the 110 MW McIntosh plant in Alabama (since 1991). With two caverns of 150,000 m³, the Huntorf plant requires 60 MW for 12h for air compression and provides about 425 kg/s of compressed air (up to 70 bars) to generate up to 290 MW for a limited time. A few CAES projects are under development or under consideration worldwide, including three projects in the United States with capacities between 150 and 300 MW. Because air temperature increases during compression and the energy needed for compression increases with air temperature, air must be cooled during compression to reduce the compression energy and then re-heated prior to combustion. Therefore, CAES efficiency could be improved either using the flue gas for air preheating (before combustion) or even storing the heat extracted from air cooling and re-using it for air pre-heating (adiabatic CAES). The first option has been adopted in the US McIntosh plant to raise the plant efficiency up to 55%. Adiabatic CAES is still under development. The first adiabatic CAES system (200MW) has been planned in Germany for 2013 [4].

**Flywheels** – Flywheel systems store electrical energy as kinetic (rotational) energy. During charging, the flywheel rotation speed increases up to 30,000-50,000 rpm [2, 5], driven by a motor-generator. During discharging, the flywheel rotation drives the generator to produce electricity. To minimize the energy loss, friction must be minimized by operating the flywheel in a vacuum and using magnetic bearing instead of mechanical bearing. This considerably increases the cost of the device but enables storage efficiency of more than 85% [6]. The rotational energy depends on flywheel diameter; therefore, larger flywheels enable higher energy storage. Proper materials (e.g. Ti-alloys and glass/carbon fibre-reinforced plastics) are needed to resist the centrifugal force. Compared with other forms of electricity storage, flywheels offer a high power output for a short time, long lifetime (105 cycles), little or no maintenance and high energy density (100–130 Wh/kg) [5, 7] – though commercial systems offer much lower values [8]. Flywheels can be broadly divided into
three categories depending on power and time service: a few kW for a few hours’ service; a few hundred kW for 15 seconds to minutes’ service; and 600-1200 kW for time service of 10-15 seconds [2]. Flywheels can be started and charged in a time span of some minutes. At present, commercial flywheels are mostly used to provide back-up power to uninterruptible power systems (UPS), e.g. data centres, medical devices. In comparison with lead batteries, flywheels for UPS need little maintenance, replacement only every few years and higher efficiency. Flywheels can be used in the transport sector to help regulate railway line voltage and to recover energy from vehicle regenerative braking (trial projects in London, Lyon, Tokyo and New York [9]). Applications to mitigate variations of wind power from individual wind turbines and wind farms in small grids have also been successfully demonstrated: flywheels in combination with low-load diesel engines (LLD) have been used to maximize the wind power generation (Power Corporation, Australia). MW-size flywheel systems are also used to stabilize the power output. One of the largest flywheel systems has been working in Japan since 1985 with more than 10,000 charge-discharge cycles. It consists of six disks (6.6m diameter, 107 tonnes each), which are charged in six minutes, absorbing 19 MW and providing up to 160 MW during 30s discharge. Okinawa Electric Power Company and Toshiba have also developed and installed a 23 MW flywheel system for frequency control in the Okinawa power grid. Beacon Power has developed flywheels for frequency regulation (100 kW each for 15 minute discharge) and a multi-flywheel system for frequency regulation with a 20 MW capacity and a 15 minute discharge time, which is also able to compensate for short-term variations of wind power output. The system is in operation since 2011 at the Stephentown energy storage plant, New York [10]. Efforts to improve flywheel efficiency include the use of superconducting magnetic levitation.

Supercapacitors – Supercapacitors (also referred to as electrochemical double-layer capacitors or ultra-capacitors) are high-capacitance electrochemical condensers. They are based on a thin, layered solid-liquid interface created by special, high-surface (1000 m²/g) carbon electrodes and electrolytes. Super- capacitors enable energy storage with higher power density (up to 6–8 kW/kg) and lower specific energy (e.g. 30 Wh/kg) in comparison with Li-ion batteries (100-250 Wh/kg). They can discharge their energy content in a short time, depending inversely on the output power (e.g. 10 kW for seconds or 1kW for one minute), and offer long lifetimes (e.g. 100,000 cycles). Marketed for the first time in 1978 to provide back-up power for computer memory, supercapacitors were then used in a variety of commercial applications, such as low-voltage electronics, emergency power and energy storage with short charging/discharging times. Due to the short response time and

---

8 Higher values of 85 Wh/kg achieved in laboratory
the high power density, supercapacitors can be used as instantaneous (1s) voltage compensators, as well as for regenerative braking and systems of electric vehicles and trains\(^9\) \[11\]. For applications with renewable power systems, supercapacitors are still under development and are often considered in combination with lead-acid batteries (CSIRO, Australia): during charging and discharging, the ultra-capacitor acts as a buffer and compensates for short-term power output variations while long-term variations are dealt with by batteries. This extends the life of the battery, saves replacement and maintenance costs and enables battery downsizing. Several new materials are being considered to increase the capacitance and energy density of supercapacitors.

**Vanadium Redox Flow Cells** – Vanadium redox flow cells or batteries (VRB) are electro-chemical energy storage systems based on the vanadium ability to exist at four different oxidation levels. During energy charging, vanadium ions in a diluted solution of sulphuric acid vary their oxidation, thus storing electricity in the form of electro-chemical energy. The process reverses during discharging. A VRB consists of cells in which two vanadium-based electrolytes are separated by a proton exchange membrane. The two liquid electrolytes are circulated by pumps to storage tanks (Figure 1) to enable high storage capacity. A cooling system is also needed as charge and discharge involve heat release. VRBs offer a storage efficiency of 65–80%, short time response to power demand and a lifetime of about 12,000 charge/discharge cycles (ten years) \[12\]. Unlike other rechargeable batteries, VRBs need little maintenance. The main disadvantages are the complexity of the system (unsuited for mobile applications) and the relatively low energy density by volume, i.e. 20–40 Wh/l compared with 40–80Wh/l for lead-acid batteries, 140–170Wh/l for NaS batteries and 140–210 Wh/l (up to 630) for Li-ion batteries.

Sulphuric acid VRBs were patented by the University of New South Wales in Australia in 1986. The large capacity potential makes VRBs well-suited to support wind power electricity generation. VRBs are currently in a pre-commercial phase with several projects in operation with a capacity between a few kW and a few MW, e.g. Huxley Hill (wind farm, Australia), Tomari Wind Hills, Hokkaido (wind farm, Japan), Sorne Hill (wind farm, Ireland), Castle Valley 250kW/2MWh (Pacific Corp. Utah) and a number of small 5kW units. The global installed capacity is estimated at a few tens of MW. Alternative flow battery concepts include Zn/Br flow batteries (commercial modular units with storage capacity of 50kWh and 500kWh) and other concepts still under development (Zn-Air, Al-Air, Fe-Cr, Zn-Cl).

---

\(^9\) e.g. power trains for special electric buses (capabus) with no power lines, but equipped with onboard supercapacitors, which recharge at any bus stops.
Dry Rechargeable Batteries and Li-ion Batteries — Batteries are the storage technology of choice for PV systems. Traditional lead-acid batteries are the lowest-cost rechargeable batteries used in a number of commercial applications (e.g. vehicles), but they have low energy density and offer only short lifetimes if used for electricity storage service in power applications\(^\text{10}\). Other types of batteries, such as advanced deep-cycle lead-acid batteries, NaS batteries and Lithium-ion batteries, are now entering the market of wind and PV electricity storage and grid support service. **NaS batteries** are based on the sodium-sulfur reaction and require high operating temperatures (300°C). They are suitable only for large-size applications, such as MW-size grid stabilization, load-levelling, utility-scale storage of wind and PV electricity\(^\text{11}\). **Lithium-ions batteries** are perhaps the most promising technology for both small- and large-scale electricity storage in power generation. They currently

---

10. **In general, battery lifetime is highly sensitive to the level of discharge. The deeper the discharge, the shorter the lifetime. For electricity storage applications, deep cycle batteries are needed, which are able to discharge a large amount of their energy with acceptable lifetimes.**

11. e.g. the 64MW/48MWh plants at TEPCO Ohito plant (Japan) and the fully integrated storage for utility-scale PV system in Albuquerque, New Mexico (S&C Electric Company)
offer superior performance and dominate the market of mobile phones and laptops but need further development for application to power generation. Lithium-ion batteries are a family of rechargeable batteries in which lithium ions move from anode to cathode during discharge through a non-aqueous electrolyte (and back during charging). Materials, chemistry, performance, costs and safety features vary significantly within the family. The cathode can be lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO₂), lithium-iron phosphate (polyanion) or lithium manganese oxide (spinel); the anode is made of carbon (graphite or special carbon-based materials); the electrolyte can be lithium salt (LiPF₆, LiBF₄ or LiClO₄) in an organic solvent (ethylene-, dimethyl- and diethyl-carbonate). Li-ion batteries were developed from 1970 onward with the contribution of several individuals and organizations. Their commercial production and performance have been increasing rapidly from 2003 onward. Compared to other rechargeable batteries for mobile applications (e.g. NiCd, NiMH), they offer the best energy density (125–250 Wh/kg, up to 250–630 Wh/l), cycle efficiency (90%) and durability (400–1200 cycles), along with high power density (250–340 W/kg, up to 1500 W/kg), lowest self-discharge (5–8% per month at 21°C, 15% at 40°C, 31% at 60°C) [14, 15, 16], and no memory effect. Li-ion batteries can also operate in a wider range of temperatures. In comparison, NiCd and NiMH batteries have a maximum energy density of 200 and 350 Wh/l and self-discharge rates of 10% and 30% per month at room temperature, respectively. However, Li-ion batteries’ applications to power generation require different types of Li-ion batteries, significantly lower costs and safer operation. Industry is currently in the process of improving capacity, power size, reliability and safety for applications to both electrical vehicles and power generation. A key issue relates to safety. The high energy density of Li-ion batteries, abnormal heating due to overcharging, possible short circuits due to lithium precipitation, heat produced at the anode and oxygen at the cathode can result in hazardous operation. In current portable devices, these issues have been solved by internal components and systems, (e.g. protection against over-voltage, over-current, over-temperature, over-charging and relief valves to avoid gas overpressure due to overcharging).

12 Pure lithium reacts vigorously with water; thus a non-aqueous sealed electrolyte is used to avoid contact with water.

13 Typical chemical reactions are as follows (charge/discharge):
- Electrode (+): \( \text{LiC}_\text{oO}_\text{2} \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}_x\text{C}_\text{o}_\text{2} + x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- \)
- Electrode (-): \( x\text{Li}^+ + xe^- + 6\text{C} \rightleftharpoons \text{LiC}_\text{o}_\text{2} + 6\text{C}_\text{6} \)
- Whole battery: \( \text{LiC}_\text{oO}_\text{2} + x\text{C} \rightleftharpoons \text{Li}^+ - x\text{C}_\text{oO}_\text{2} + x\text{Li}_x \)

14 Panasonic 2.85Ah/18650 cell

15 Memory effect is a reduced discharge capacity when the battery is incompletely discharged and recharged.
All these systems ensure safe operation but add volume and complexity and increase costs. Alternative materials and solutions are needed for automotive and power applications. For example, lithium-cobalt\(^\text{16}\) oxide can be replaced with lithium-metal phosphate (though at the cost of a lower capacity) and a number of novel approaches and materials (including nanomaterials) are being explored. These efforts are expected to result soon in commercial products. Li-ion batteries could have a wide range of applications in power generation, including renewable electricity storage for both distributed and centralized installations, grid support and load leveling, as well as emergency and back-up power for UPS.

**Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage (SMES)** – SMES systems store energy in the magnetic field generated by superconducting magnets working at cryogenic temperature. An SMES system consists of a superconducting coil, a DC/AC converter, a quench protection system and a magnet cooling system (Figure 2). The AC/DC converter rectifies the grid alternate current (AC) to generate the magnetic field where energy is stored. After charging, the current does not decay and the energy can be stored indefinitely with negligible or no losses. The stored energy can be released back to the grid by discharging the coil through the AC/DC converter. The quenching protection system is needed to dissipate the magnetic energy in case of a superconductor quench. The cooling system is needed to keep the superconducting coil 

---

\(^{16}\) Cobalt resources are limited and concentrated (Congo, Zambia)
### Table 1 – Performance of Storage Technologies, [2, 17] a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Type</th>
<th>Power (MW)</th>
<th>Discharge time</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Lifetime (yr)</th>
<th>Overall storage cost (USD / MWh)</th>
<th>Capital cost, (USD /kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pumped Hydro</td>
<td>250–1000</td>
<td>10h</td>
<td>70–80</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>50–150</td>
<td>2000–4000 (100–300) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAES</td>
<td>100–300 (10/20)</td>
<td>3–10h</td>
<td>45–60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>800–1000 (1300–1800) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Wheels</td>
<td>0.1–10</td>
<td>15s–15m</td>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1000–5000 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super Capacit.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;30s</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5 10^4 cycles</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1500–2500 (500) d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRB</td>
<td>0.05–10</td>
<td>2–8h</td>
<td>75/80DC 60/70AC</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>250–300 d</td>
<td>3000–4000 (2000) d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-ion battery</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>15m–4h</td>
<td>90DC</td>
<td>8–15</td>
<td>250–500 d.e</td>
<td>2500–3000 (&lt;1000) d,e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead battery</td>
<td>3–20</td>
<td>10s–4h</td>
<td>75/80DC 79/75AC</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>1500–2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaS battery</td>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>4h</td>
<td>80/85DC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50–150 d</td>
<td>100–2000 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMES</td>
<td>0.5+ d</td>
<td>1–100s/h d</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>&gt;5 10^4 cycles</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) All figures are intended as typical order of magnitude estimated based on available sources and information, often with wide ranges of variability;
b) Hydro power plant upgrading for storage service;
c) Small systems (10–20 MW);
d) Projected/estimated;
e) Large Li-ion cells
below the critical temperature (i.e. about 4K in the case of NbTi and Nb$_3$Sn superconductors). SMES systems offer high storage efficiency (>90%) [20] and high energy density with very short response time (close to zero). Power is available almost instantaneously. High power output can be provided for short time periods. Energy losses are associated to the AC/DC converter (2–3%) and to the superconductor refrigeration system. The basic SMES technology is currently available: small-scale superconducting magnets are used in commercial devices (e.g. magnetic resonance) while large-scale superconducting magnets are being developed for fusion power experiments and particle detectors.

The high cost of the superconductors is the primary barrier to commercial use of SMES for energy storage. Due to the energy needed for refrigeration, SMES is well-suited to short-term energy storage. Several demonstration SMES with power between 200 and 800 kW have been tested in Japan and the United States for distributed grid regulation and stabilization (D-SMES) and power-quality industrial voltage regulators.

Key parameters of storage systems (i.e. typical storage capacity, discharge time, efficiency, lifetime and costs) are summarised in Table 1. Power output and discharge time are also provided in Figure 3. The overall performance of a storage system is to be assessed with respect to the required service.
Costs and Cost Projections

In general, energy storage is economically competitive if the electricity marginal price is higher than the cost of storing and retrieving electricity, including the cost of energy lost in the process. Apart from pumped hydro, which is the only mature and commercial storage option, the investment and operation costs of electricity storage facilities are rather high and highly variable as technologies are still under demonstration or in pre-commercial phases, and there is neither large-scale production of components nor operation experience. Cost projections are also scarce or unavailable. With the exception of Li-ion batteries, which have experienced mass production for mobile applications, technology learning curves and cost reduction patterns must be extrapolated from other technologies. Consequently, the costs discussed below have to be considered as indicative estimates often with a wide range of variations.

Investment or capital costs of a storage technology can be given per unit of power capacity (USD /kW) or per unit of energy storage capacity (USD /kWh). Operating costs are given per unit of power capacity per year (USD /kW-yr). The overall cost and the levelised cost of energy storage are given per unit of energy (USD /kWh) as the ratio of all costs incurred for storing the energy (capital, operation and energy costs, if any) to the total amount of energy stored in all storage cycles over the plant’s lifetime.

**Pumped hydro** is the cheapest option for large-scale electricity storage. The current capital cost of new pumped hydro facilities is estimated to range between USD 2000–4000/kW, with dam and civil infrastructure accounting for 60%, pump-turbine devices for 15% and other components and systems for the remaining 25% [2]. The cost is very sensitive to the site, and no cost reduction is expected from technology learning as pumped hydro is a mature technology. Upgrading existing dams with additional electricity generators to increase production flexibility is by far the cheapest option among energy storage technologies with costs as low as USD 100–300/kW [18]. The overall cost of pumped hydro energy storage is estimated at between USD 50 and USD 150 per MWh. The estimated capital cost for new **CAES** facilities using natural underground caverns is on the order of USD 800–1000/kW for capacities of 100-300 MW (assuming a cheap availability of natural underground storage sites) and USD 1500–1800/kW for small capacity (10–20 MW) [17]. The capital cost of CAES systems is also sensitive to the site. CAES systems with ground in-vessel storage are estimated to be three to five times higher [2]. The overall cost of CAES storage is on the order of USD 150/MWh. **Flywheels** capital cost is also sensitive to size and applications. It ranges from less than USD 1000/kW for small, simple UPS systems [19] to USD 4000/kW for MW-size systems [17]. The prospective cost of very large (10 MW) systems
is estimated at around USD 3000/kW. **Supercapacitor** cost is estimated to range between USD 1500 and USD 2500 per kW with a learning rate of 14-15% [2] based on the current production (the current value of supercapacitors’ global market in sectors other than energy storage is estimated to amount to a few hundred million dollars per year). This could enable significant cost reductions (USD 500/kW) [17] in case of ample production. **Vanadium flow cells** are also projected to reduce their capital cost from the current level of USD 3000 to USD 4000 per kW [17] to about USD 2000/kW with a prospective overall storage cost of USD 250–300/MWh, depending on actual lifetime. **Li-ion batteries** for power applications are still expensive (up to USD 2500/kW) because of the need for new materials and technology, as well as overcharging protection and packaging to improve reliability and safety. However, experience curves for production of batteries for mobile applications show high learning rates (30%) [2]. In addition, a number of manufacturers are developing large Li-ion batteries for electric vehicles and wind energy storage. Thus, capital cost is declining quickly to less than USD 1000/kW. The prospective overall storage cost is set somewhere between USD 250 to 500 per MWh, depending on lifetimes. The capital cost of **SMES** systems is dominated by the superconductors while the operation cost is dominated by the cryogenic cooling system. Small superconducting systems for current commercial applications (e.g. magnets for magnetic resonance medical devices) are available at an estimated cost between USD 700 and USD 2000 per kW [2, 17] while the cost of large superconducting magnets (e.g. for experimental nuclear fusion devices) is hardly scalable to energy storage systems. RASMES [20] suggests SMES capital costs between USD 200,000 and USD 500,000 for systems with energy storage capacity between 200 kWh and 1 MWh. SMES cost estimates are often based on the current.

### Potential and Barriers

Energy storage technologies and markets are quickly evolving as the share of renewable electricity grows and there is an increasing need for grid stabilization, load levelling and integration of variable renewables. The increasing cost of peak electricity will also make energy storage more attractive. The Pike Market Research firm estimates that the global energy storage market could rise from USD 1.5 billion in 2010 to about USD 35 billion in 2020. The utility energy storage market is actually already growing as some regional energy policies require grid-tied storage. Explorative simulations [2] based on high renewable share scenarios (IEA ETP 2008 Blue Scenario [21]) suggest that, for net wind power variations between 5% and 30%, the need for storage capacity in Western Europe would be between
0 and 90 GW (i.e. no storage needed up to 5% net wind power variation). This is to be compared with recent measurements of wind power variations showing a net variability from 6% to 12% (over 10-15 minutes) in Western Europe and up to 24–35% in specific U.S. regions. In small regions, even net variations of 5% can have an impact on grid stability if interconnection and other smoothing effects are not available. On a global scale, simulations of 15% to 30% net wind power variations result in a need for storage capacity from 190 GW to 300 GW by 2050.

Among storage technologies, pumped hydro still has considerable expansion potential, the only barriers being the lack of suitable sites for installation and the local environmental impact. A large potential is associated with seawater pumped hydro, which offers comparatively easier coastal location. A 30-MW demonstration plant with 136m head and 546,000m³ water storage is in operation in Okinawa (Japan). Seawater plants have been proposed in Ireland and in the United States. CAES expansion is also limited by the lack of suitable natural storage sites (e.g. caverns). However, significant R&D efforts focus on improving CAES efficiency. The first adiabatic CAES project (200MW) has been planned for construction in Germany [4]. Other investigations explore the use of advanced gas turbines (e.g. humid air turbine cycles) and compressors (with internal water atomisation coolers) to reduce compression energy.

A large market expansion potential is anticipated for Li-ion batteries. The market intelligence firm IHS iSupply estimates the grid-tied Li-ion battery market to reach USD 6 billion by 2020. This demand would be tied to the deployment of so-called smart grids in the current decade. While Li-ion batteries are still expensive, new types of low-cost batteries for large-scale electricity storage are under development (e.g. sodium-ion batteries). The cost decline of batteries and other storage technologies will make them appealing for power companies and householders to install more renewable capacity. Until then, policy measures are needed to support the energy storage market.

An important storage technology for low-cost (night) electricity is the conversion into hydrogen via electrolysis (see ETSAP P11). This option has been thoroughly explored in the past and was basically declined due to the low efficiency of the electricity-hydrogen-electricity cycle and the high cost of hydrogen storage. At present, some utilities are reconsidering the process due to the increased efficiency (more than 75%) of electrolyser and the possible conversion of hydrogen into methane (methanation) with CO2 absorption. This process is under consideration as a storage option for wind power. It is also the only process which could provide distributed seasonal electricity storage. Also important is the energy storage in concentrating solar power (CSP) where solar heat is stored in molten salt and used when sunlight is not available to generate fully dispatchable renewable electricity.
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(see ETSAP E10 and E17). These plants already in operation can reach up to 15h storage capacity (e.g. 19 MW Torresol plant, Gemasolar, Spain), thus providing energy 24 hours a day, the same as conventional base-load power plants. CSP plants with heat storage also offer the opportunity to store energy from other variable renewables, thus representing an important tool for the grid integration of variable wind and PV power.

Electric utilities are also considering potential electricity storage in the batteries of electric vehicles: charging the batteries of electric vehicles overnight would offer a unique opportunity for distributed electricity storage with virtually no cost and could also contribute to emission reductions in the transport sector. A study by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy estimates that two million electric vehicles with a 33-mile electric range could provide enough grid stabilisation to accommodate some 10 GW of wind energy.
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## Table 2 – Summary Table - Key Data and Figures for Electricity Storage Technologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Performance</th>
<th>Technology Variants</th>
<th>Typical current international values and ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pumped hydro</td>
<td>CAES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy input/output</td>
<td>Electricity/Electricity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services, applications</td>
<td>bulk storage, load levelling, f/v regulation, renewables integration</td>
<td>bulk storage, load levelling, f/v regulation, renewables integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>commercial</td>
<td>commercial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical power output, MW</td>
<td>250-1000</td>
<td>100-300 (10-20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage capacity, kWh</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency, %</td>
<td>70-80</td>
<td>45-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime, yr (cycles)</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>sec. to min.</td>
<td>min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge time</td>
<td>several hrs</td>
<td>hrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Discharge time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>several hrs</th>
<th>hrs</th>
<th>sec. to min</th>
<th>sec.</th>
<th>2-8 hrs</th>
<th>min to hrs</th>
<th>1-100s (hrs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy density, Wh/l</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>20-40 (low)</td>
<td>140-630a (high)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific energy, Wh/kg</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>125-250</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Li-ion best performance to be compared to NaS (140-170), lead-acid (40-80); portable NiCd (200) and NiMH (350)

### Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Typical current international values and ranges (2010 USD, 1€ = 1.3 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment cost, USD /kW</td>
<td>2000-4000 (100-300) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment cost, USD /kWhcap</td>
<td>100-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall storage cost, USD /MWh</td>
<td>50-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning rate, %</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected investment cost, USD /kW</td>
<td>2000-4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market prospect estimates</td>
<td>Some USD 35 billion global market by 2020; between 200 and 300 GW storage capacity needed by 2050, in high-share renewable scenarios</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

a) Investment cost for upgrading existing hydro-plants to the storage service;
b) investment cost of small (10–20 MW) CAES systems;
c) power storage Li-ion cells;
d) fast ongoing decline to USD 1000/kW.
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