





Session 3: Economic assessment of PV and wind for energy planning

IRENA Global Atlas Spatial planning techniques 2-day seminar







Central questions we want to answer

- 1. Once we know how much electricity can be produced in our country with given resources (technical potential), we will be able to **estimate their generation costs**
- 2. As all available data comes with uncertainties, we should know
 - a. how sensitive results react on changing input parameters, and,
 - b. what **socio-economic effect** highly uncertain input data could have.















Contents

- 1. Levelized cost of electricity (LCOE)
- 2. Worked example: LCOE sensitivity of PV projects
- 3. Worked example: LCOE sensitivity of wind projects
- 4. Worked example: Effects of data uncertainty on the LCOE of PV







1. LEVELIZED COST OF ELECTRICITY (LCOE)







Levelized Cost of Electricity (LCOE)

 Calculates the average cost per unit electricity. LCOE takes into account the time value of money (i.e. capital costs).

$$LCOE = \frac{I_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{A_t}{(1+i)^t}}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{Q_{el}}{(1+i)^t}}$$

Where:

- LCOE: Average Cost of Electricity generation in \$/unit electricity
- I₀: Investment costs in \$
- At: Annual total costs in \$ in each year t
- Q_{el}: Amount of electricity generated
- i: Discount interest rate in %
- n: useful economic life
- t: year during the useful life (1, 2, ...n)







Worked example:

2. LCOE SENSITIVITY OF PV PROJECTS







Worked example – Grid-tied PV in Egypt

- Project type:
- Location at latitude:
- Reference irradiation (GHI):
- Reference specific yield (P50):
- System size:
- Specific project CAPEX:
- Project annual OPEX:
- Discount rate (WACC):
- Project duration:
- Inverter replacements:
- Solar panel degradation:

Grid-tied

20° North

2,500 kWh/m²/a

1,880 MWh/MWp

10 MWp

2.000.000 USD/MWp

1.5% of project CAPEX

8%

30 years

2

0,7% p.a. (linear)







LCOE sensitivity (absolute)









LCOE sensitivity (relative)









Worked example:

3. LCOE SENSITIVITY OF WIND PROJECTS







Worked example – Grid-tied wind project Egypt (variation A)

- Project type:
- Location:
- Average wind speed @ 80m:
- Wind distribution, shape parameter: 3.5
- Wind distr., scale parameter:
- Technical availability:
- Reference specific yield (P50):
- Capacity factor:
- System size:
- Specific project CAPEX:
- Project annual OPEX:
- Discount rate (WACC):
- Project duration:

Grid-tied wind

Egypt / south-west of Cairo

- 7.3 m/s
- 8.11
- 97%
 - 3,202 MWh/MW (techn. Availability considered)
 - 36.6%
 - 8 MW (4 turbines)
 - 4.000.000 USD per turbine
 - 3.0% of project CAPEX
 - 8%







LCOE sensitivity (absolute) – Wind speed only









LCOE sensitivity (absolute) – other parameters









Worked example – variation B: lower wind speed & lower shape parameter

- Project type:
- Location:
- Average wind speed @ 80m:
- Wind distribution, shape parameter: 3.5 m/s 1.5 m/s
- Wind distr., scale parameter:
- Technical availability:
- Reference specific yield (P50):
- Capacity factor:
- System size:
- Specific project CAPEX:
- Project annual OPEX:
- Discount rate (WACC):
- Project duration:

Grid-tied wind

Egypt / south-west of Cairo

7.3 m/s 5.5 m/s

6.1197%2,054 MWh/MW (techn. Availability considered)

23.5%

- 8 MWp (4 turbines)
- 4.000.000 USD per turbine

3.0% of project CAPEX

8%







LCOE sensitivity (absolute) – Wind speed only









LCOE sensitivity (absolute) – other parameters









Conclusions on sensitivities and for scenario development

- Variations of the shape parameter of the Weibull distribution of wind can have very different effects depending on the chosen scenario
 - In variation A (high wind, high shape factor), varying of the shape factor only had a very little effect on the LCOE.
 - In variation B (lower wind, lower shape factor), varying of the shape factor had a considerable effect on the LCOE.
 - Reason: the chosen wind turbine for the scenario has a power curve which operates better under stronger winds. The Weibull function produces a wind distribution where relative low wind speeds occur comparably often.
 - It is crucial for wind scenario developments, to chose appropriate turbines for sites with different wind speeds and wind speed distributions.







International Renewable Energy Agency

Comparison of Weibull curves for variations A (left) + B (right)										0.0	Output power of E82-2000, (kW)
										2.0	3
										3.0	25
										4.0	82
	4 000									0.U	321
	1,600 -	Γ			-					7 0	532
Time (h)	1 400									8.0	815
	1,400 -				-					9.0	1180
	1 200									10.0	1612
	1,200 -				-					11.0	1890
	1 000 -				_	1 000				12.0	2000
	1,000					800 -				13.0	2050
	000							N		14.0	2050
	800 -									15.0	2050
	<u> </u>				Ē					16.0	2050
	600 -	1) e(600				17.0	2050
	400				Tir	400					
	400 -				-						
	200 -				-	200					
	0	0	5 1	0 15		0	0	5	10	15	20
		•	•	• ••			0	0	10	10	19

Wind speed, (m/s)

4. EFFECTS OF DATA UNCERTAINTY ON THE LCOE OF PV

Worked example:

Markad axample:













Why data quality is so important

- All data comes with **uncertainties**:
 - Measurements are always subject to deviations, and ,
 - models used for predictions can never simulate what happens in reality.
- It is obvious that the lower uncertainty is the more accurate predictions will be. This, in turn, will enable us to **make better estimates**.
- In the following, we will demonstrate how good data (i.e. data with low uncertainties) will
 potentially help saving funds for PV Power Purchase Agreements.







Uncertainty assumptions

- Low resolution NASA SSE data: +/- 13,7%
- Average Meteonorm 7 data: +/- 7,5%
- Best ground measurement at site: +/- 3,0%

 Important note: Besides uncertainty of irradiation data, there is also uncertainty within the simulation model and nameplate capacity. However, the latter are comparably small so that we will, to keep the example simple, only look at resource uncertainty. In real-life, when it comes to detailed project development, one should always ask the project developer to provide information about his uncertainty assumptions.





Grid-tied



Worked example – Grid-tied PV in Egypt

- Project type:
- Location at latitude:
- Reference irradiation:
- Reference specific yield (P50):
- System size:
- Specific project CAPEX:
- Project annual OPEX:
- Discount rate (WACC):
- Project duration:
- Inverter replacements:
- Solar panel degradation:

20° North 2500 kWh/m²/a 1880 MWh/MWp 10 MWp 2.000.000 USD/MWp 1.5% of project CAPEX 8% 30 years 2 0,7% p.a. (linear)







Exceedance probability (alternative view)









LCOE depends on quality of meteo data









LCOE is key factor for PPA tariff calculation

- Assuming a 10% premium on the LCOE as margin for IPP
 - Best case: 128 USD/MWh +10% = 141 USD/MWh
 - Worst case: 149 USD/MWh +10% = 164 USD/MWh
 - Delta: 23 USD/MWh (incl. 10% premium)







Country sets a 5% PV goal by 2020

•	Sample:	Egypt
•	Total electricity demand 2012:	109 TWh (Source: indexmundi.com)
•	5% of total:	5.45 TWh
•	PPA tariff difference:	23 USD/MWh
•	"Unnecessary" payments in 2020:	5,450,000 MWh * 23 USD/MWh =125.4 Mio USD

PV power needed: 3,014 MWp (with best P90 value)







"Unnecessary" payments due to inaccurate data

• PV power needed by 2020:

3,014 MWp (with best P90 value)

Aviodable payments: 376 Mio USD

















Thank you very much for your attention!

Volker Jaensch Renewables Academy (RENAC) Phone +49 30 52 689 58-85 jaensch@renac.de www.renac.de