

Study on environmental impact from large-scale deployment of renewable energy technologies

Viktorija Namavira, Consultant

8 October 2013



Project Overview

Project title

• "Study on environmental impact from large-scale deployment of renewable energy technologies"

Project Scope

- Analyse environmental impact of renewables
 - > Wind
 - > Solar
 - > Ocean
 - > Hydropower
 - Geothermal
 - > Bioenergy
- Assess impact from large-scale deployment according to IRENA REMAP 2030; consideration of off-grid applications
- Identify mitigation/mediation measures & best practices
- Identify "hot spots" where IRENA should focus further investigation



Project Overview

Project Approach

- Review existing literature
- Using existing studies to identify impact by Life Cycle stages:





3

KEMA

International Renewable Energy Agency

Wind Energy – Environmental Impact





International Renewable Energy Agency

Wind Energy

Wind Energy - environmental impact share





Wind Energy

Key impacts relating to social acceptance:

Туре	Environmental impact
Visual impact	 Visual aesthetics (Onshore, Offshore) Property values Shadow Flicker Aircraft warning lights
Noise	 Noise of onshore Infrasound Underwater noise for offshore
Bird and bat fatalities	 Estimated from 0.95 to 11.67 per MW per year (mostly songbirds) Risk to seabirds Depends on the location, weather, environment, site characteristics
Habitat and ecosystem	 Displacement of habitat – at the moment the impact is unclear. Habitat destruction Impact on marine life (offshore wind)
Electromagnetic field	Electromagnetic interferences (onshore, offshore)







Key impacts relating to social acceptance:

Туре	Environmental impact
Land Use	 In some cases agricultural land was used Depending on location concerns about loss of habitat Land degradation
Water Use	Water required for plant maintenance in certain locations (deserts)
Concerns in case of Fire	 Roof PV can be risk for tripping and slipping If they burn they release dangerous fumes
Visual impact	It can be argued that there is no significant benefit visually, when comparing solar PV or CSP plants with traditional power stations. However; there is a clear benefit visually in the reduction of open-pit mining. Overall the impact is neutral.

Geothermal

Key impacts relating to social acceptance:

- Induced seismicity
- Noise such as equipment noise during drilling, construction and operation.
- Water Use geothermal requires a lot of water for cooling purposes, fears for water from upper layers moving to lower layers
- Visual boreholes, pipelines, drilling platform, surrounding equipment, streets, traffic and power lines
- Lack of information about geothermal



How does the geothermal power plant affect the population?



Source: Geolec – A study in France







Туре	Environmental impact
Land Use	 Clearance of forest Biodiversity loss Feedstock supply is susceptible to volatility of biological production due to weather and seasonal variations, which can lead to significant variations in feedstock supply quantity, quality and price.
Competition	Bioenergy competes for feedstock with other sectors such as food, chemicals and materials.
Water pollution and contamination	 Leaching or run-off of nutrients (mainly nitrogen and phosphate) and pesticides Reuse of wastewater raises the risk of soil contamination with pathogens and hazardous substances
Increased Noise	 Traffic Plant operations



Conclusion / Way Forward

- Key environmental concerns that influence social acceptance:
 - Visual aspect
 - Noise
 - Impact on health electromagnetic interference
 - Impact on flora and fauna/marine life
 - Land competition with other economic and recreational activities
- Way Forward:
 - Estimate large-scale impact IRENA REMAP in 2030
 - Specify mitigation and mediation measures and identify best practices
 - Identify "hotspots" according to actual impact, public perception, and impacts that are not well studied





Thank you!

Viktorija Namavira, Consultant

8 October 2013





Environmental impact of renewables vs conventional

Summary of life-cycle GHG emissions for selected power plants:





gCO2eq/kWh

Source: IAEA



Ocean Energy – Tidal Barrage

- Manufacturing stage is a major contributor to GHG emissions
- Installation is a large engineering project – thus significant disturbance to local marine flora and fauna
- Heavy sedimentation and accumulation of organic matter in the basin
- During operation habitat expected to recover
- No actual experience with decommissioning (100 years life time)



During La Rance installation – large amount of local marine flora and fauna disappeared due to salinity flactuations



Ocean Energy – Tidal Stream / Wave



Hydropower

High head hydropower plant Seasonal storage



Pump storage plant



Low head hydropower plant Run-of-river



Small hydropower





Enabling the energy transition

Hydropower

Key impacts:

Туре	Environmental impact
All	 Barrier for fish migration and navigation and sediment transport Physical modification of riverbed and shorelines
Run-of-river	Unchanged river flow when powerhouse in dam toe; when localized further downstream reduced flow between intake and powerhouse
Reservoir	 Alteration of natural and human environment by impoundment, resulting in impacts on ecosystems and biodiversity and communities Modification of volume and seasonal patterns of river flow, Changes in water temperature and quality, Land use change-related GHG emissions
Multipurpose	 As for reservoir HPP; Possible water use conflicts; Driver for regional development
Pumped storage	Impacts confined to a small area

