



Renewable Energy Project Facilitation

Roland Roesch & Carlos Ruiz

Universität Bonn

21 December 2017

IRENA Lecturers





Roland Roesch is the Senior Programme Officer, Renewable Energy Markets and Technology Dialogue at the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Preceding that he worked in the Oil & Gas and Utilities Industry and as an Energy Market Consultant for Lahmeyer International and as researcher for renewable energies.



Carlos Ruiz is a Associate Programme Officer at the International Renewable Energy Agency. Carlos has been working on the Project Navigator for the last three years developing technical guidelines for different RE technologies. Before joining IRENA, he worked in Spain monitoring and analyzing O&M performance of solar power plants.



MANDATE

To promote the widespread adoption and sustainable use of all forms of renewable energy (RE) worldwide

OBJECTIVE

To serve as a **network hub**, an **advisory resource** and an **authoritative, unified, global voice** for renewable energy

SCOPE

All renewable energy sources produced in a sustainable manner



Members (154)States in accession (26)









Examples and case studies

On-going power sector transformation IRENA

International Renewable Energy Agency



Source: IRENA statistics

- Around 25% renewable power generation share worldwide
- Growing by 0.7 percentage per year

The global weighted-average installed costs of utility-scale PV

systems is estimated to fall by 57% between 2015 and 2025



Note: Installed cost value are given for the year during which the project is commissioned. .

Source: IRENA (2016), The Power to Change: Solar and Wind Cost Reduction Potential to 2025

#ProjectNavigator

Solar PV cost reduction potential

ELECTRICITY COST



#ProjectNavigator

Renewables: Highly competitive for new capacity



Each circle represents one project, centre of circle is LCOE value on Y axis, diameter is size of project. Year is the year commissioned.

Cost reduction potential



#ProjectNavigator

Solar PV has seen the most significant cost reduction from 2012 to 2016, with a 45% decline in 4 years which is in line with global cost reduction



Note: Installed costs and LCOE calculations are given for the year during which the project is commissioned.

Outline







IRENA's project facilitation tools



The project development process



Examples and case studies

RE project development challenge





- Most countries know they have RE potentials. However, they lack the projects to achieve the desired deployment.
- Conditions inherent to certain countries/regions translate into high costs and financial risks, *e.g.* SIDS.
- Stakeholders involved in a project often lack the know-how to complete a bankable project proposal.
 - This leads to higher project development costs and risks.
- Fund securement process and financing options themselves aren't transparent.

→ IRENA aims to strengthen the project development base, enhance the quality of proposals and increase their bankability, attracting better financing conditions.

Outline





The case for renewables



The challenge of RET project development



IRENA's project facilitation tools



The project development process



Examples and case studies

IRENA's project facilitation tools & platforms





Evaluate, technical assistance

Sustainable Energy Marketplace

Global Atlas for Renewable Energy



Global Atlas FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY

The Global Atlas for Renewable Energy:

IRENA's renewable energy prospector





www.irena.org/globalatlas



Global Atlas *pocket* Mobile App

Sustainable Energy Marketplace: a virtual Market Place for RE Projects

International Renewable Energy Agency

Objectives

- Increase visibility of RE and EE projects.
- Increase visibility of financing opportunities.
- Promote project initiation and development.
- Support building a pipeline of investor ready projects.
- Boost investments in renewable energy.
- Mobilise public and private finance.





Methodology

- Provide an integration platform for stakeholders in the market.
- Enhanced user interface and experience.
- Regional hubs for focused partnerships.
- Seamless linkage to IRENA tools and services.
- Advanced search functions.

Providing concessional funding

International Renewable Energy Agency



16

What is the IRENA Project Navigator?

The challenge of RET projects

- » Failing to prove project bankability to funding institutions
- » Insufficient knowledge on project proposal development
 - » Higher project development costs
 - » Higher risk of project failure

Objectives

- » Increase the bankability of projects by:
 - » Strengthening the project development base
 - » Enhancing the quality of project proposals
 - » Reducing costs and mitigating risks through proper planning and efficient use of funds
 - » Facilitating effective implementation

Scope

- » All RETs
- » Different finance types: grants, loans, equity
- » Project sizes: from individual use to utility scale projects
- » Global: all geographical regions







The Project Navigator Platform



Learning Section

- » Project development and technical guidelines
- » Best practices
- » Examples & Case Studies



Start a Project

- » Personal and private workspace
- » Tools, templates, checklists
- » Stepwise approach
- » Track your progress
- » Export documents

Financial Navigator

» Information on multiple funds

IRFNA

International Renewable Energy Agency

- » Filter by region and technology
- » Information includes fund types, requirements and contact details among others.





Feature #1: Learning section



Project development Guidelines

- » Clear project development process
- » Tools
- » Key Actions
- » Control questions and deliverables
- » Contract templates

Technical Concept Guidelines

- » Land and resource assessment
- » Technology selection and sizing
- » Contractual aspects
- » Lessons learned from previous projects

How others did it

- » Find examples
- » Case studies
- » Templates





Feature #2: Interactive workspace



Create your own workspace

- » Password protected workspace
- » Interactive tools
- » Store up to three projects

Follow a clear project development process

- » Clear objectives
- » Interactive tools
- » Control questions to ensure that nothing important has been overlooked

Track your progress

- » Store your data
- » Keep track of your project
- » Export and download reports

Home	Learning section	Start a project	Financial Navigator	My account	ıt	Sign out			
♠ > Project Works	pace								
My development p	rogress			Project infor	mation				
				Project title	Project 1				
The following task list pro- renewable energy Project	ovides an overview of all Ta ct. Although it is recommen	sks to be completed for th ded to use the tools in the	e given type of order presented (as	Short descriptio	n test				
defined in IRENA's Proje	ct Development Guidelines), you are free apply your o	own Habits:	Created on	05 Sep 2014				
Identification phase				Region	Africa				
Tool		Completed	Export						
Identification Checklist		1	đ	Technology	Solar power				
						Edit			
Screening phase									
Tool		Completed	Export						
SWOT Analysis		1	3 h						
SCOPE Analysis			đì						
PRIMO-F Analysis			G						
PEST/PESTLE Analysis (s	see Learning section)								
Assessment phase									
Tool		Completed	Export						
1									

Identification Questionnaire

I Stakeholder Analysis and Target Group Selection	
Who is involved?	Investors, government, manufacturers and suppliers, electricity buyers, local community and competitors.
Who has power/control over the benefits?	Investors, government, customers, community
What needs have to be met?	Environmental and legal frameworks, market price must cover production costs, quality of electricity supply.
Who are the direct recipients (directly affected target groups)?	Electricity buyers/consumers
Who are the ultimate beneficiaries (benefit from the long- term outcome)?	Government, local community
II Problem Analysis	
What is the core problem that the project should help to solve?	Limited electricity access.
What are its causes?	Insufficient grid infrastructure and geographic isolation.

Feature #3: Financial Navigator

Find a fund that suits your project

The Financial Navigator is a detailed database of funds that actively provide finance to renewable energy technology projects.

It increases the transparency of the funding process and helps project developers identify potential funding opportunities

The available information includes:

- » Geographical Coverage
- » Technological Coverage
- » Type of fund
- » Project Size
- » Funding requirements
- » Administrating organization
- » Contact details

General information		Core funding information
Name of fund Strategic Climate Fund (including Scaling Up Renewable Ener	'gy Program (SREP))	Administering organisation(s) African Development Bank (AfDB)
General description The Scaling Up Renewable Energy Program in Low Income Cc Fund (SCF), which is one of two funds within the framework or to scale up the deployment of renewable energy solutions an aims to pilot and demonstrate the economic, social, and envi Geographical coverage	puntries (SREP) is a targeted program of the Strategic Climate of the Climate Investment Funds (CIF). The SREP was established de expand renewables markets in the world's poorest countries. It ronmental viability of low carbon development pathways.	Funding organisation(s) Australia/Canada, Denmark/Switzerland, Germany/Spain, Japan/Korea, Netherlands/Sweden, Norway, United Kingdom and United States
🚍 Armenia 🚍 Yemen 📧 Maldives 🚺 Mongolia Nepal 🔤 B	thiopia 🚟 Kenya 🔚 Liberia 🚺 Mali	Link
🞽 United Republic of Tanzania 🔤 Honduras 🜌 Solomon Isla	Website	
Details on geographical coverage - T - the land sector		Total fund size (M USD equivalent) 340
Solar nower Wind nower Biomass Geothermal no	ower A Hydropower	Comments on total fund size
Details on technology coverage Solar, wind, bio-energy, geothermal, and small hydro technol	Country allocations on average have been 15 M USD (envelopes) for African countries For project preparation grapts	
Check annual report from November. Analysis of portfolio. In Africa: Liberia mini-grid technologies to be confirmed. Mali :mini hyu	there are no caps under SREP. For example, Mali received 2.2 M USD for a feasibility study.	
WB was supposed to develop mini-grid. Wind in Ethiopia . Ta	inzania: geothermal.	Initial launch of the programme
Technology agnostic.		or fund 2008
Type of fund	Size of grant	Contact
This fund can only be accessed indirectly by project	Around 20-50 M USD per country. Though funding is	See multilateral development ban

International Renewable Energy Agency

Project Navigator: impact



The IRENA Project Navigator supports project developers in applying best practices to transform their power sectors with renewable energy and address the challenges of affordable energy and climate change.



Technical Concept Guidelines







Woody Biomass

Ligno-cellulosic biomass coming from branches and tops of woody plants such as trees, bushes or shrubs for the production of solid biofuels (i.e. wood pellets)



Minigrids Improve reliability of energy supply in remote areas by combining small-scale renewable energy generators and storage in a smart distribution grid



Geothermal Sustainable access to Earth crust's natural heat often associated with volcanoes to pump hot water or steam up from deep wells to generate electricity



Solar Home Systems Stand-alone solar PV systems that offer a sst-effective mode of supplying vital power for lighting and appliances to remote offgrid households

Technical Concept Guidelines



	Pre-development	Resources	
⊙ Home	Preliminary economic and financial analysis	✓ Toolkit	Quic
	• On this page	Project Brief Template	Access Tools
Overview	Key Performance Indicators	Bankability Checklist	
 Identification 	Cost estimation	Risk Assessment Tool	
Screening	Revenue streams	Resist Surfaction Model	
Assessment	Economic indicators	- Mini-Grids	
Selection	Financial indicators	~ Case study	
Pre-development Development Construction	Cutline Economic and financial analysis is based on a clear understanding of how cost estimates are made and robust are contractual agreements to guarantee enough revenue streams during the project lifetime. The information should be laid down and documented in a business plan. This analysis is backed by a serie indicators that are of interest for different stakeholders.	how Mini-Grids - Case Study India S hese s of V Links	oummary activities
Decommissioning References		Image:	

Technical Concept Guidelines





Project Navigator: outreach



To organize project development training workshops, IRENA will build on previous successful dissemination approaches blending online and physical activities such as:

Training workshop in West Africa

Residential PV entrepreneurship facility for Africa Training workshop in the Middle East

Utility-scale Solar PV training workshop in Iran Mini-Grids Webinar

Mini-Grids training webinar







60 African project developers trained

100 local project developers trained

500+ participants remotely trained

IRENA PROJECT NAVIGATOR

Access practical information, tools and guidance for the development of bankable renewable energy projects



A learning section with easy-to-access knowledge materials An interactive workspace to develop projects and track progress An online search engine to find renewable energy funding sources

Obtain project development guidance with 50+ tools for:



Solar PV



Wind



Woodv

Biomass



Mini/

Microarids







Small

Geothermal Solar Home Power Systems Hydropower

www.irena.org/navigator

Outline





The case for renewables



The challenge of RET project development



IRENA's project facilitation tools



The project development process



Examples and case studies

Front-end loading



- Early stages of project development
 - Important decisions and large investments have not been made
 - Changes are easily made and are low cost
- Later stages
 - Decisions and investments have been made
 - Changes imply costs



Creating a valuable project



• If a **good project selection** is complemented by a **good execution** of the project, the increase in the value and the quality of a project will be substantial.



- 1 Good project selection and good project development
- 2 Good project selection and poor project development
- 3 Poor Project selection and good project development
- 4 Poor project selection and poor project development

Project development process





Outline





The case for renewables



The challenge of RET project development



IRENA's project facilitation tools



The project development process



Examples and case studies





Making the most out of opportunities and minimizing risks

Early stage project development phases

International Renewable Energy Agency



- Identify potential project opportunities
- Screen options and discard unfeasible projects
- Perform a preliminary technical assessment
- Evaluate project options on qualitative and quantitative metrics, and their risks:
 - Operational aspects, financial metrics, revenue certainty, reliability, funding availability, etc.



Late stage project development phases

International Renewable Energy Agency





- Preparations for detailed design, financing and construction of the project:
 - Define suitable technologies.
 - Identify operational and site constraints.
 - Estimate preliminary costs and obtain technical specification sheets.
- Model performance based on historical and projected loads, yield estimates, tariffs and operational regulations.
- Finalize financial model and risk management plan
- Finalize contractual agreements and permits

Project implementation and operation



- Start construction of the project; ensure it is completed on time and on budget
- Testing and Commissioning
- Execute and audit O&M procedures to achieve contractual performance guarantees
- Refurbishing or decommissioning





Sample of Bankability requirements





- Conservative estimates:
 - Fixed and variable expenses
 - Revenues
- Warranties and guarantees
- Independent verification of assumptions



Project Navigator platform



				Te	chnical and socio-environr	nental as	sessment matrix						
				Cate	aon	Criteria		Weight	Mark				
						Cintenia		100	0-4				
Land chara	acteristics criteria matrix		14	March (0.5)		A: Meteorolo	av	30		social evaluat	on matrix		
Criteria		Hills above h	orizon > 4°	Mark (U-S)			-3)			Itee		Ma	rk (0.5)
		Hills above h	orizon 3°- 4°			A 1 Solar ror	00000	20		Iten	•	Ma	IIK (0-5)
External shading		Hills above h	orizon 2°- 3°			A. I Solar les	source	20					
		trees)	g objects (buildings,		ororogy						Marina hakitata	_	
		No external s	shading			A.2 Annual r	mean ambient temperature	(Flor	arlauna nabitats		
		> 10%								Prot	ected areas and species / environmenta	lly	
Slope		6% - 7.9%				A.3 Extreme	e conditions	3			inte dicus		
		4% - 5.9%								-			
		< 4% Very hilly_ur							·		air air		
		Hilly, up to 2	Bankabilit	y check	dist								
Profile		Moderate, up									and soil		
		Nearly flat, b				For the purp	pose of:				and ground water		
		High forest (
		Medium-high				Ind	dicative term sheet		Update	Final approval			
Land cover											ts living on site (e.g., resettlement)		
	Infrastructure criteri	ia matri	General project des	scription							tial housing nearby (impacts from tra	affic.	
	Criteria		I continu										
Landuce			Location								Asteorological esiteria	rk (0.5)	+
Callo use	Availability of substation		Capacity								vieteorological citteria inte	ark (0-5)	
			oupucity	auny							GHI < 1 650 kWh/m ²		
			Project company (name, existing activity, ownership structure) Investor (name, activity, experience with solar projects)										
										3HI: 1 650 - 1 700 kWh/m²			
			[-		1
			Project team								GHI: 1 700 - 1 750 kWh/m ²		
			1										
			Technology								3HI: 1 750 - 1 800 kWh/m ²		
	Road available to access site		Contractual relational	his among the s	undian (auk aunalian including description a								
			responsibility of each	al relationship among the suppliers/sub-suppliers including description of bility of each party							$2HI > 1.800 kW/b/m^2$		
				TYOC AVAILAD	No WIGHT S KIT								1
				Water well	< 5 km				Annual mean ambient ter	nperature	> 26 °C		
	Potable water available			Piped wate	er < 5 km								-
				Water well	on site						25 °C - 26 °C		
				Piped wate	er on site								41
				> 100 km							24 °C - 24 9 °C		
	Distance from classed coursed			50 - 100 kr	m						24 0 24.3 0		
	Distance nom closest seaport			20 - 49 km									11
				10 - 19 Km							23 °C - 23.9 °C		
				> 10 km									
				50 - 100 km							< 23 °C		
				30 - 100 KI					1				11

Toolkit: Project Evaluation Model

International Renewable Energy Agency

🐼 IRENA

		Project Name. Willigh	uco					
SUPPLY AND DEMAND		III PERFORMANCE SCENARIO						
ELECTRIC POWER		ELECTRIC POWER	Avg. annual value	Unit	% demand	HEATING & COOLING	Avg. annual value	Unit
/ear 1	LCOE	Electricity demand	14,993.91	MWh/year		Heat demand	6,832.62	MWhth/year
		RE Electricity injected	8,355.23	MWh/year	56%	CHP Heat generated	4,790.77	MWhth/year
•		Other Electricity injected	1,916.31	MWh/year	13%	Boiler Heat generated	1,971.06	MWhth/year
	e.0	Mini-Grid Electricity injected	10,271.54	MWh/year	69%	Mini-Grid Heat generated	6,761.83	MWhth/yea
		Electricity imported	5,773.25	MWh/year	39%			
1 		Electricity exported	907.83	MWh/year	6%			
	0.1	RE Electricity curtailed	1.	MWh/year	0%			
		Electricity demand not met	-	MWh/year	0%	Heat demand not met	70.79	MWhth/yea
	6.2							
	••• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
Jan Feb Mar Apr May June Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov De	0.0 PC	Revenues (year 1)	Value	Unit		Uses of funds	Value	Unit
Import VRE Storage	Grid =VRE =Storage =Back-up =Other	Electricity Distribution	2.42	\$m		CAPEX	10.80	\$m
Back-up Wot Met Demand	LCOE 16.23 \$c/kWh	Electricity Export	0.46	Sm		Other CAPEX	-	\$m
		Heatsales	0.56	\$m		Other Costs	-	\$m
EATING & COOLING	LEVELIZED COST OF ENERGY	Total Annual revenues	3.45	\$m		Total Uses	10.80	\$m
ar 1	LCOH	Costs (year 1)	Value	Unit		Sources of funds	Value	Unit
		Fixed OPEX	0.38	Sm		Equity	3.24	\$m
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Variable OPEX	1.12	Sm		Debt - Tranche A	3.78	Sm
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.0	Fuel costs	0.49	\$m		Debt - Tranche B	3.78	\$m
		Total Annual costs	1.98	\$m		Total Sources	10.80	\$m
	8.0	PROJECT RETURNS						
		Economic returns	Value	Unit		Financial returns	Value	Acronym
-		Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	7.5%			Return on Equity	12%	ROE
Inn Eab Mar Anr May June Jul Aug San Oct New De		Net Present Value (NPV)	2	Sm		Minimum Debt Service Coverage Ratio	1.17	DSCR
CHP Boiler Not Met access Demand	CHP Boiler	Payback time	15	Year(s)		Minimum Loan Life Coverage Ratio	1.60	LLCR
Sore House See Demand	LCOH 11.02 \$c/kWhth					Minimum Project Life Coverage Ratio	1.96	PLCR
		Environmental indicators	Value	Unit		Funding gap	-	\$m
		Average emission factor	0.225	g CO ₂ /kWh				
		Avoided emissions	105,971.58	t CO ₂				

Project developers can understand relationship between system performance & project returns

0.00

USD/LCO

Subsidy cost of CO₂ reduction

Toolkit: Checklists & Tools

IRENA Project Navigator - Technical Concept Guidelines for Mini-Grids

1 - Project Screening Tool

				Total Screening Score	30%	34%	24%	33%
	1				Score	Score	Score	Score
1	Siting & Logistics	Criteria	Guidance on criteria	Impact on Project	28	32	22	31
	1.1	Land availability	How available and suitable is the real estate?	Real estate may not be not be available. Real estate suitable under single ownership is more ideal than multiple ownership	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair
	1.2	Leasing/owning requirements	What is the complexity of the leasing/owning requirements?	Complex leasing or ownership requirements may have a negative impact on the project in terms of cost and scheduling.	Good	Excellent	Poor	Good
	1.3	Distribution system in frastructure	What is the quality of the distribution system infrastructure (a non-existing distribution system would be graded "poor")	Construction of distribution system may entail additional costs and planning requirements. 3rd party entity ownership and operation of the distribution system may require additional resources to be included in the project.	Excellent	Fair	Poor	Fair
	1.4	Renewable production capability	What is the quality of the solariwind production capability?	Environmental conditions may inhibit renew able production, or substantial investment is required to modify topographical or site conditions for renew able production. Production may be too low because of low solar insolation or low wind production capability.	Fair	Very Good	Fair	Fair
	1.5	Fossil fuel access	How convenient is the access to fossil fuel reserves ?	Substantial investment may be required for direct access to fossil fuel reserves.	Good	Excellent	Fair	Very Good

1.	1 - Risk assessment												
					a) Initial ris	sk assessm	ent		b) Post-mitigati	ion risk asse	ssment	c) Risk mitigation effectiveness	
#	Project phase	Risk category	Risk description	Impact category	Likelihood	Impact severity	Risk rating (initial)	Proposed mitigation measures	Likelihood	Impact severity	Risk rating (post mitigation)	Risk mitigation effectiveness	
2	1) Identification	Research	Having insufficient information; Site visit and desk study do not provide enough information (on expected temperatures and subsurface permeability) for having confidence in the presence of a geothermal resource.	Financial	Likely	Severe	High		Unlikely	Significant	Medium	Effective	
2	1) Identification	Construction	Working area is not appropriate; An appropriate working area cannot be selected, because other activities on site are blocking an eventual concession- right for the geothermal project.	Financial	Certain	Significant	High		Likely	Significant	High	Not effective	
3	1) Identification	Market	No financial possibilities; No financing possibilities found, for the geothermal development in the area	Financial	Rare	Moderate	Low		Rare	Minor	Low	Not effective	
4	1) Identification	Organisational	Political and regulatory instruments have not been identified yet; Political and regulatory instruments have not been identified yet and e.g. geothermal friendly policies have not been found	Financial	Unlikely	Significant	Medium		Likely	Significant	High	Detrimental	
5	2) Screening	Organisational	Stakeholders are not properly known	Financial	Unlikely	Significant	Medium		Unlikely	Minor	Low	Effective	
6	2) Screening	Social	No public acceptance; The issue of public acceptance has not been addressed	Financial	Unlikely	Moderate	Medium		Unlikely	Minor	Low	Effective	
7	2) Screening	Contracts and agreeme	Missing surface exploration permit; A surface exploration permit has not been assigned for phase 3 'assessment'	Financial	Unlikely	Moderate	Medium		Unlikely	Minor	Low	Effective	
8	2) Screening	Contracts and agreemen	t incomplete identification of concession rights and licence issues	Financial	Likely	Moderate	Medium		Likely	Moderate	Medium	Not effective	





Onting 4 Onting 2



A company has developed a 1 MW power plant.

The selected site looks very promising and suitable for the construction and operation of a photovoltaic power plant. The figure below shows the site. All contracts were signed (e.g. EPC, O&M, Facility Agreement with lenders) and construction of the plant was about to start. The key data are as follows:

- > Site is 3.4 ha and has a suitable shape (rectangular)
- > High irradiation in the site
- > Capacity of 1 MW
- > Grid connection approval available
- > Grid connection directly at the site
- > Site is not complex and is flat
- > Access to the site is available
- > No obstacles like trees or large buildings present



Land Securing and Availability

In the final analysis, the site proved to be not suitable for PV development. After further investigation before the actual start of construction, a number of issues were identified that had a negative impact on the actually usable area:

- irrigation line (south)
- > main water pipe (NW)
- > MV power lines crossing with MV poles
- > building on site.

The final suitable area was only 60% of the initial site. Furthermore, due to the various obstacles (exclusion areas), the site was parceled up into several sub-plots that made the site unsuitable overall for further project realization.





Land Securing and Availability



How could this happen?

- > Invisible obstacles like underground pipes are not always entered into cadastral maps
- > Not all of the purchased land belonged to the land owner
- There was no official feedback from the grid utility with regard to minimum distance to MV lines and MV poles
- There was no answer from the grid utility with regard to installation of modules under the MV line
- > It was not clear whether or not the MV lines and poles could be removed

Mitigation: Careful site assessment at project start

PV Project



Item

- PV Modules
 - Technical properties
 - Certificates and guarantees
 - Product testing conditions

Inverters

- Testing and suitability for extreme conditions
- Long term agreements and warranties

Structure Suitable design and calculation for 25 years

Grid connection

- Evacuation of electricity
- Permitting

Setbacks

- Underperformance of modules that might reduce production, e.g.
 - extreme degradation
 - potential induced degradation
 - delaminating
 - Soiling and shading
- Risk of acquiring products not suitable for the environmental conditions.
- Interconnection difficulties, grid instability.
- Difficulties for finding financing entities or investors for the projects
- Economic underperformance as a result of defects and need for corrective measures

Mitigation actions

- Factory inspections of PV module production lines
- Reviews of track record, certificates and guarantees
- Reviews of technical characteristics of inverters and operation conditions
- Verifying long terms guarantees for main components.
- Revision of support structure
 design and structural verifications
- Assessment of grid connection point and electrical lines' suitability to evacuate the electricity produced.

Common Construction Issues



Setback examples

Soil conditions

- > incorrect verification of soil conditions (drainage, geotechnical properties) by the EPC contractor
- > incorrect foundation selection

Structure and module mounting

- > defective mounting by crews or of structure
- > damage to PV modules
- > wrong cabling installation leading to damage to cables

Electrical installation

- > incorrect grounding design
- > incorrect installation of inverters leading to damage
- > improper cable and electrical connections, leading to fires, injuries and energy losses

Security

> theft of copper and cables, theft of modules

Soil erosion issues





Inappropriate drainage system and enhanced erosion





Severe weather conditions





Mounting structure issues



Site observations

Mounting structure > problems especially in steeply sloped zones: poles, connection plates, washers, module clamps, module positions; improperly attached modules affect manufacturer warranties



Mounting structure issues





Site observations

Mounting structure problems especially in steeply sloped zones: poles, connection plates, washers, module clamps, module positions; improperly fixed modules affect manufacturer warranties

Incorrect mounting & mechanical stress





Plant installation in flooding region





Wrong installation of cables and modules



Cable conduits not buried Modules behind a wall





System static stability



Inadequate installation of cables at PV modules





Water infiltration to subcombiner box





Foam sealing of combiner box cable entries





Foam sealing of cable ducts





Metal shield protection for cable ducts at combiner boxes





Module defects and required cable sleeves at sharp edges





Thermographic imaging fault detection





Picture markings:

Measurement Objects	Temp. [°C]	Emiss.	Refl. temp. [°C]	Remarks
Measure point 1	33,2	0,85	-40,0	Cell defect, visual check shows no result
Measure point 2	30,2	0,85	-40,0	-

Remarks: Module Ser.No. 0718114350400072210

Wrong pyranometer installation







Incomplete cutback of plants and shadowing





Excessive plant growth and its remediation





IRENA PROJECT NAVIGATOR

Access practical information, tools and guidance for the development of bankable renewable energy projects



A learning section with easy-to-access knowledge materials An interactive workspace to develop projects and track progress An online search engine to find renewable energy funding sources

Obtain project development guidance with 50+ tools for:















Utility-scale Onshore Solar PV Wind

Woodv Biomass

Mini/ **Microarids**

Power

Geothermal Solar Home

Small Systems Hydropower

www.irena.org/navigator



Thank you for your attention